

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,298	09/27/2001	Dennis J. O'Rear	005950-657	5063
75	90 06/17/2003		•	
E. Joseph Gess BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	_
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Andication No.	plicant(s)				
		Application No.					
; , '	Advisory Action	09/966,298	O'REAR, DENNIS J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit 1764				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication can	Ellen M McAvoy					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Ther final cond	REPLY FILED 09 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Thefore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (lition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application appli	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
·	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have I 37 CF (b) ab	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modulated term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: 	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.🛛	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10.	Other:		Ællen M.McAvoy Primary Examine				
			Art Unit: 1764				

-

Ĵ

The amendments to the claims have been carefully considered and they will be entered if this application is appealed. Although the Berlowitz et al references and the Wittenbrink et al reference do not disclose an Oxidator A value or an Oxidator BN value, it is still not clear that a line of distinction exists between the claimed oil compositions and the prior art compositions. The Oxidator A and BN values could be the same since the oil compositions appear to be comprised of the same components. It is not clear if all Fischer-Tropsch derived synthetic lube base oils have an Oxidator A value of less than about 1 or if only a select few of these conventional oils have such a value. It is not clear if all non-synthetic lube base oils, component (b), have an Oxidator BN value in the presence of additives (antioxidants?) of less than about 7.